REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF

PROPOSITION\_\_\_\_9\_\_

REBUTTAL TO YES ON PROP. 9 BALLOT ARGUMENT

Our hearts go out to the victims of violent crime and their families. Prop. 9 was put on

the ballot by one such family whose family member was killed 25 years ago. But Prop. 9

is unnecessary and will cost taxpayers millions of dollars.

During the past 25 years many fundamental changes have been made to our criminal

justice laws such as the "Three Strikes Law;" and the "Victims Bill of Rights-"which

placed victims' rights into the Constitution.

<u>Under current law</u> victims have the right to be notified if their offender is released, to

receive advance notice of criminal proceedings, and to participate in parole hearings and

sentencing. There's already a state-funded Victims of Crime Resource Center to

educate victims about their rights and help them through the process.

That's why Prop. 9 is a horrible drain on taxpayers during the height of a budget crisis.

It's why the independent Legislative Analyst said it could cost "hundreds of millions of

dollars annually."

Instead of streamlining government, Prop. 9 creates serious duplication of existing laws.

It places pages of complex law into our Constitution. And once in the Constitution, if the

laws don't work, and need to be changed or modernized in any way, it could require a 3/4

vote of the Legislature. That's a threshold even higher than required to pass the state

budget!

Vote NO on Prop. 9.

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES

## REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signed:

Jeanne Woodford Former Warden, San Quentin State Prison

Rev. John Freesemann Board President, California Church IMPACT